

GEORGE B. FREEHILL
 WILLIAM L. JUSKA, JR.
 JAMES L. ROSS*
 ERIC E. LENCK
 JOHN J. WALSH*
 PATRICK J. BONNER*
 PETER J. GUTOWSKI
 MARK F. MULLER
 WAYNE D. MEEHAN*
 DON P. MURNANE, JR.△
 THOMAS M. RUSSO
 THOMAS M. CANEVARO*
 MICHAEL FERNANDEZ*
 JOHN F. KARPOUSIS*△
 MICHAEL E. UNGER*
 WILLIAM J. PALLAS*
 GINA M. VENEZIA*△
 BARBARA G. CARNEVALE*
 DOLORES N. O'LEARY*
 MANUEL A. MOLINA
 LAWRENCE J. KAHN*
 JUSTIN T. NASTRO*
 PAMELA L. SCHULTZ*△
 DANIEL J. FITZGERALD*△
 JILL A. TAFT
 MICHAEL C. ELLIOTT*
 * ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY
 * ALSO ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT
 △ ALSO ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
 △ ALSO ADMITTED IN LOUISIANA

LAW OFFICES OF
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR
 80 PINE STREET
 NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005-1759

TELEPHONE (212) 425-1900

FACSIMILE (212) 425-1901

E-MAIL: reception@freehill.comwww.freehill.com

RECEIVED
 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 TELEPHONE (973) 623-5514
 FACSIMILE (973) 623-3813

CONNECTICUT OFFICE
 23 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY SOUTH
 DARIEN, CT 06820-4538
 TELEPHONE: (203) 921-1913
 FACSIMILE (203) 358-8377

RECEIVED
 APR 20 2007
 CHAMBERS OF
RICHARD M. BERMAN
U.S.D.J.

April 20, 2007

Application Granted.

OUR REF: 593-06/GMV/PLS

SO ORDERED:
 Date: 4/23/07 *Richard M. Berman*
 Re: Pigasos Navigation Co. Ltd. v. Far East International Petroleum
 Company LLC a/k/a FEIPCO - 07 CV 197 (RMB)

BY HAND

The Honorable Richard M. Berman
 Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse
 500 Pearl Street, Room 650
 New York, New York 10007

Dear Judge Berman:

We represent the plaintiff in the captioned matter and write to request an additional 45 days to serve the Defendant with a copy of the Summons and Verified Complaint. This is our first request for such relief.

By way of background, Plaintiff initiated this action on January 10, 2007 seeking security for its maritime claims via an attachment of the Defendant's property in this District pursuant to Rule B. The requested Rule B attachment was granted, and the attachment order was served on various New York banks. Despite continued efforts to restrain funds of the defendant, we have not been successful in restraining any assets of the Defendant, but efforts to do so are continuing. If we were to notify our adversaries of these proceedings now, before any property is restrained, it would defeat the purpose for which the attachment was sought and this Court's Order issued.

We therefore respectfully request that we be granted an extension of time to serve our adversaries with the Complaint for a period of 45 days, up to and including, June 25, 2007. We note that Local Admiralty Rule B.2 recognizes the importance of keeping Rule B actions *ex parte* until property is actually restrained, and provides that notice of the attachment is not required to be given to the Defendant until after its property has been restrained.

We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

April 20, 2007
Page 2

Very truly yours,

FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP



Pamela L. Schultz